



South Tyneside Council

Reference:	260014
Location:	144 Beaconside South Shields NE34 7PX
Ward:	Whitburn and Marsden
Description:	2 storey side extension
Recommendation:	Grant Permission Householder with Conditions
Case Officer:	Emma Thomas
Case Officer Contact No.:	0191 4247593 or 07741105284

Description of the Site

This application relates to a semi-detached property located within a residential area of South Shields. The property currently hosts a single storey garage along the side elevation.

Description of the Proposal

This application seeks full householder planning permission for a two storey side extension.

Summary of Consultation Responses

N/A

Summary of Representations

No responses received

Relevant planning policy

Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 requires that an application for planning permission must be determined in accordance with the adopted development plan, unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

The statutory development plan comprises the Council's adopted Local Development Framework (LDF) development plan documents. Those LDF documents of relevance to this application comprise of the Core Strategy 2007 and a Development Management Policies Development Plan Document (DPD) 2011.

The following policies of the South Tyneside Local Development Framework Core Strategy are relevant to this planning application:

- DM1 – Management of Development

The following policies and guidance are also relevant to this planning application:

- Supplementary Planning Document 9 (Householder Development)

On 4 March 2025, the Council was directed by the Secretary of State for the Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government to submit the South Tyneside Local Plan for examination by 12 March 2025 in accordance with Regulation 22 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The Local Plan has been subject to examination in public hearings in July 2025 and January 2026 and is now progressing towards Main Modifications consultation subject to receipt of the Inspector's post-hearing letter. Paragraph 49 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2024) states that local planning authorities may give weight to relevant policies in emerging plans according to:

- the stage of preparation of the emerging plan (the more advanced its preparation, the greater the weight that may be given);
- the extent to which there are unresolved objections to relevant policies (the less significant the unresolved objections, the greater the weight that may be given); and,
- the degree of consistency of the relevant policies in the emerging plan to this Framework (the closer the policies in the emerging plan to the policies in the Framework, the greater the weight that may be given).

Whilst the draft Local Plan is now at a more advanced stage of preparation, the weight that can be given to individual Policies in the Plan varies dependent upon the extent and nature of objections to those Policies. In this regard, it is considered that certain significant weight in assessing this planning application. Relevant Policies are as listed below (with text provided after each Policy regarding the weight that officers consider can be afforded to that Policy):

- Policy SP1: Presumption in favour of Sustainable Development (limited)
- Policy 1: Promoting Healthy Communities (limited)
- Policy 47 Design Principles (very limited)

National planning policy is also a material consideration in determining this planning application. Relevant national policy comprises the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG), the National Design Guide and the National Model Design Code.

Assessment

The key issues in the assessment of this planning application are as follows:

- Impact on Visual Amenity
- Impact on Residential Amenity

Impact on Visual Amenity

LDF Policy DM1 (A) seeks to preserve visual amenity.

The proposed development would be of an acceptable scale and massing having regard to the existing host property and to the surrounding area.

With regards to two storey side extensions, SPD9 sets out that two-storey side extensions on semi-detached and high-density detached properties should:

- retain a minimum 1 metre gap between the extension and the side boundary of the application site;
- provide a minimum 1 metre set-back between the front wall of the upper storey extension and the main front wall of the house.
- provide a significantly lowered ridge line and a roof shape that corresponds to the main dwelling.

Consideration must be given to the width of the proposed side-extension in relation to the existing property. Side extensions which are excessively wide can undermine the character of the street and of the existing property. To maintain a reasonable level of subordination, side extensions should not be more than 3 metres in width or be more than half of the width of the original dwelling frontage, whichever is the greater. In this instance the proposed side extension would host a width of approx. 2.7m which is below the 3m mark. Therefore it is not considered excessive enough to compromise the character of the property and street.

SPD9 also states that 'In those cases where the whole width of the plot is already built up by a single storey extension or garage, or where an existing single storey extension or garage has resulted in a gap along the common boundary of less than 1 metre, a first floor extension built up to the boundary may be acceptable where:

- it is set back behind the front wall of the main dwelling;
- it does not occupy more than two-thirds of the depth of the dwelling and is located towards the rear of the main dwelling;
- the roof ridgeline is significantly lower than the main dwelling ridgeline;
- a pitched or hipped roof, depending upon the existing dwelling and proposed extension design, is constructed on any residual flat roof of the original single storey structure in order to reduce the visual impact of the structure upon the proposed extension and street scene;
- a window is included to the front elevation of the proposed extension.

The proposed first floor extension would host a set back of 2.25m. Whilst this set back would be 0.15m less than a third set back from the front wall of the main dwelling as set out in the guidance within SPD 9, the pitched roof ridgeline would be lower than the main dwelling ridgeline, and the extension would host a window to the front elevation. The applicant has confirmed that it would not be practical to build an extension with a one third set back given the existing garage. In this regard and given the location of this element of the proposal, the two storey side element is considered acceptable in visual amenity terms.

It is considered that the proposal would convey sensitive consideration to its surroundings having regard to its scale, proportion and use of materials and is acceptable in terms of its design and also local visual amenity.

Having regard to the above, the proposed development is considered to have no significant harm to the visual amenity of the area and is considered to be in accordance with LDF Policy DM1 (A).

Impact on Residential Amenity

LDF Policy DM1 (B) seeks to preserve residential amenity. SPD 9 sets out guidelines for householder developments.

The attached neighbour (no. 142 Beaconside) is located west of no. 144 and the adjacent neighbour (no. 146 Beaconside) is located east of no. 144. The proposed two storey side extension at no. 144 would not be visible from any habitable room windows of no. 142 and

144. Taking the above into account, the proposed development at no. 144 is considered to be acceptable in terms of loss of outlook, privacy, overdominance and overlooking.

The proposed side extension at no. 144 would be visible from a number of properties to the front (north) and rear (south) directly face the rear elevations of no. 144, however these dwellings are also located at a sufficient distance.

The other surrounding residential properties are located at a sufficient distance so that there would be no harm to their residential amenity.

Therefore the proposal would be acceptable in relation to the consideration of the residential amenities of the surrounding neighbours and would be in accordance with LDF Policy DM1 (B).

Conclusion

In deciding whether to grant planning permission the Council must have due regard to the 3 equality aims contained in Section 149 of the Equality Act 2010 (the public sector equality duty). A preliminary assessment of the potential equality impacts of any grant of planning permission in this case has been undertaken. There are no apparent equality impacts of the proposed development which give rise to the need to undertake a more detailed equality impact assessment.

The development has been assessed against the provisions of the Human Rights Act, and in particular Article 1 of the First Protocol and Article 8 of the Act itself. This Act gives further effect to the rights included in the European Convention on Human Rights. In arriving at this recommendation, due regard has been given to the applicant's reasonable development rights and expectations which have been balanced and weighed against the wider community interests, as expressed through third party interests / the Development Plan and Central Government Guidance.

Having regard for the above it is considered that the proposal would result in no significant harm to the visual amenity of the area, the residential amenity of any neighbouring properties or the highway safety of the area.

As a result, it is considered that the proposed development complies with all relevant local and national planning policy and guidance. Consequently, this application is recommended for approval.

Recommendation

Grant Permission Householder with Conditions

Conditions

- 1 The development to which this permission relates must be commenced not later than 3 years from the date of this permission.

As required by Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and to ensure that the development is carried out within a reasonable time.

- 2 The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved plan(s) as detailed below

- Existing and Proposed Elevations and Plans – Received 08/05/2026

Any minor material changes to the approved plans will require a formal planning application under S73 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 to vary this condition and substitute alternative plans.

In order to provide a procedure to seek approval of proposed minor material change which is not substantially different from that which has been approved.

- 3 The external surfaces of the development hereby permitted shall be as stated in the submitted application form (received 05/01/26). Unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority pursuant to this condition.

To ensure a satisfactory standard of development and in the interests of visual amenity in accordance with South Tyneside LDF Development Management Policy DM1.

Informatives

- 1 Approval of a Biodiversity Gain Plan NOT REQUIRED before development commences

The effect of paragraph 13 of Schedule 7A to the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 is that planning permission granted for the development of land in England is deemed to have been granted subject to the condition "(the biodiversity gain condition)" that development may not begin unless:

- (a) a Biodiversity Gain Plan has been submitted to the planning authority, and
- (b) the planning authority has approved the plan.

However, based on the information available, this permission is considered to be one which will not require the approval of a biodiversity gain plan before development is begun because one or more of the statutory exemptions or transitional arrangements are considered to apply - please refer to Biodiversity net gain: exempt developments - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk).

- 2 The proposed development lies within a coal mining area which may contain unrecorded coal mining related hazards. If any coal mining feature is encountered during development, this should be reported immediately to the Mining Remediation Authority on 0345 762 6846 or if a hazard is encountered on site call the emergency line 0800 288 4242.

Further information is also available on the Mining Remediation Authority website at: Mining Remediation Authority - GOV.UK

Case officer: Emma Thomas

Signed: E.Thomas

Date: 23/03/2026

Authorised Signatory: Seán Gallagher

Date: 14/05/2026